1	Kai Tseng (State Bar No. 193756)		
2	ktseng@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, CA 94025-1015		
3			
4	Telephone: (650) 614-7400 Facsimile: (650) 614-7401		
5	James C. Brooks (State Bar No. 129680)		
6	jbrooks@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFF	FE LLP	
7	777 South Figueroa Street, Suite 3200 Los Angeles, CA 90017-5855		
8	Telephone: (213) 629-2020 Facsimile: (213) 629-2499		
9	Donald E. Daybell (State Bar No. 21096)	1)	
10	ddaybell@orrick.com Mark J. Shean (State Bar No. 217671		
11	mshean@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP		
12	4 Park Plaza, Suite 1600 Irvine, CA 92614-2558		
13	Telephone: (949) 567-6700 Facsimile: (949) 567-6710		
14	Attorneys for Defendant		
15	AXURÉ SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS, INC.		
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
17	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
18	WESTERN DIVISION		
19			
20	IRISE, a California corporation,	Case No.: 2:08-cv-03601 SJO (JWJx)	
21	Plaintiff,	ANNUNCIA EN DANCE	
22	V.	AXURE'S EX PARTE APPLICATION TO DEEM	
23	AXURE SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS,	AXURE'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT TIMELY	
24	INC, a California corporation; and INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL	FILED	
25	SERVICES, INC., a Delaware corporation,	Date: July 6, 2009 Time: 10:00 a.m.	
26	Defendants.	Courtroom: Hon. S. James Otero	
27	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.	Pre-Trial Conf: September 21, 2009 Trial Date: September 29, 2009	
28		EX PARTE APPLICATION TO DEFMED	
		EX PARTE APPLICATION TO DEEMED	

OHS West:260678093.1

EX PARTE APPLICATION TO DEEMED AXURE'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT TIMELY FILED Axure Software Solutions, Inc. ("Axure") hereby moves *ex parte* for an order from the Court that the summary judgment documents filed on the public record by Axure at 4:33 p.m. on June 15, 2009 be treated as timely filed on the June 15, 2009.

The Court's Scheduling Order in this matter set June 15, 2009 as the deadline for the filing of opening summary judgment briefs. The Court further set a deadline of 4 p.m. for all electronic filings.

Axure prepared a motion for summary judgment of non-infringement and invalidity of Plaintiff iRise's U.S. Patent No. 7,349,837. Documents supporting Axure's summary judgment motion included pleadings and exhibits to be filed on the public record, together with exhibits to be filed under seal. Axure's under-seal exhibits were lodged with the Clerk's office prior to 4:00 p.m. on June 15th. (See, Declaration Of Amy Maruska In Support Of Axure's Ex Parte Application To Deem Axure's Motion For Summary Judgment Timely Filed, hereinafter "Maruska Declaration," ¶ 3.)

At approximately 3:45 p.m. on June 15, 2009, counsel for Axure attempted to electronically file the following public pleadings and exhibits in connection with Axure's summary judgment motion:

- (1) Axure's Notice Of Motion And Motion For Summary Judgment Of Non-Infringement And Invalidity;
- (2) Memorandum Of Points And Authorities In Support Of Axure's Motion For Summary Judgment Of Non-Infringement And Invalidity;
- (3) Statement Of Uncontroverted Facts And Conclusions Of Law In Support Of Axure's Motion For Summary Judgment Of Non-Infringement And Invalidity;
- (4) Declaration Of Victor S. Hsu In Support Of Axure's Motion For Summary Judgment Of Non-Infringement And Invalidity, including Exhibits B-H and N-P;

1	(5) Declaration Of Donald E. Daybell In Support Of Axure's Motion For	
2	Summary Judgment Of Non-Infringement And Invalidity, including	
3	Exhibits 1, 3-5, and 7-19; and	
4	(6) Proposed Order.	
5	Axure's initial attempt to file the above-referenced documents was rejected	
6	by the Central District's E-Filing ("ECF") system because the size of certain	
7	exhibits exceeded the ECF system's transmission capacity. (Maruska Declaration,	
8	¶ 4.) As the Court will note from the courtesy copies of Axure's summary	
9	judgment pleadings and exhibits served on June 15, 2009 (before 6 p.m., see,	
10	Maruska Declaration, ¶ 5), some of Axure's exhibits contain color diagrams and	
11	color computer screen shots. These color exhibits, as well as some of the larger	
12	non-color exhibits, created the file size problems encountered by Axure. (Maruska	
13	Declaration, $\P$ 4.) To remedy this situation, several of Axure's exhibits were split	
14	into smaller-sized portions. Axure's public summary judgment pleadings and	
15	exhibits were then re-filed, at approximately 4:30 pm on June 15, 2009. (Maruska	
16	$Declaration, \P 4.)$	
17	Plaintiff iRise was served with copies of Axure's confidential and non-	
18	confidential summary judgment pleadings and exhibits before the close of business	
19	on June 15, 2009. (Maruska Declaration, ¶ 5.) Counsel for iRise has advised that	
20	iRise does not oppose Axure's ex parte application.	
21	Datade June 16, 2000 Degreetfully submitted	
22	Dated: June 16, 2009 Respectfully submitted,	
23	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP	
24		
25	Attorneys for Defendant Axure Software Solutions, Inc.	
26		
27		
28		